ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Regional Lymph Node Radiotherapy in Breast Cancer: Single Anterior Supraclavicular Field vs. Two Anterior and Posterior Opposed Supraclavicular Fields

Attique Anwer, Ahmed Ijaz, Muhammad Arshad

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare different alternatives for the two regional Auxiliary lymph node (AXLN) and Supraclavicular lympnode (SCLNs) treatment programs by providing a perfect balance of homogeneity and by arranging target volume coverage.

Study Design: Cross sectional.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted from 11th March 2020 to 11th September 2020 at the oncology ward of the Tertiary Care Hospital, Multan.

Materials and Methods: Forty breast cancer patients were included in the study. A three-dimensional (3D) planning system and a 6MV Compact machine technique were planned for the patients with breast cancer treated with post-surgery radiation that included the SC field.

Results: The minimum weight of these patients was 40kg, maximum 110kg. The minimum height was 148cm, the highest 175cm. Measurements averaged 63.5kg and 163.5cm respectively. The depth of the SC was 3-7 cm whereas the diameter of the chest wall was 12-21 cm. Body mass index has a relation with the mean of both the diameter of the chest wall and SC depth.

Conclusion: Two anterior and posterior opposed supraclavicular field is a better choice for the treatment as compared to single anterior supraclavicular fields. Several hot spots were produced at the two anterior and posterior opposed supraclavicular fields due to the use of single-photon.

Key Words: AXLN, Body Mass Index, Radiotherapy Supraclavicular, SCLNs.

How to cite this: Anwer A, Ijaz A, Arshad M. Regional Lymph Node Radiotherapy in Breast Cancer: Single Anterior Supraclavicular Field vs. Two Anterior and Posterior Opposed Supraclavicular Fields. Life and Science. 2022; 3(2): 70-75. doi: http://doi.org/10.37185/LnS.1.1.202

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

The uncontrolled division and multiplication of cells in the breast leads to breast cancer. Breast cancer is the most familiar cancer after lung cancer, especially in women. In the year 2018, 20.9 million cases of breast cancer were diagnosed. 1 out of 7, that means 14% of the population of women suffers from the disease. Most of the women with breast cancer are of more than 50 years of age¹ and only 5% of them are below 40 years. Lobules, the milk-producing

Department of Oncology Nishtar Medical University and Hospital, Multan Correspondence: Dr. Attique Anwer Consultant, Oncology Nishtar Medical University and Hospital, Multan E-mail: dr.atteeq@yahoo.com

Funding Source: NIL; Conflict of Interest: NIL Received: Apr 20, 2021; Revised: Dec 10, 2021 Accepted: Feb 14, 2022 glands, and ducts, the passage through which milk reaches the nipple, are the most common breast cancer points where usually cancer develops. Also, it can develop in the fatty or fibrous connective tissue of the body.^{2,3}

Other than these, the cancerous cells can travel to the lymph nodes that are present under the arms.⁴ Lymph nodes are the primary medium for transferring cancer from one part to other body parts of the body.⁵ At the early stages, the tumor is sometimes not visible, but the first sign is a lump development in the breast. Other symptoms include swelling or lumps under your arm, breast pain, reddish skin of the breast, fluid discharge from the nipple, scaling of breast skin, change in the nipple shape, and many more.⁶ Surgeries, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, hormone therapy, and medications are ways to treat breast cancer. After removing earlystage breast cancer, it is recommended that there be a radiation therapy of breast.^{7,8} As a result of radiation therapy, the risk of developing cancer again is reduced. Also, radiation therapy is done at the lymph nodes of nearby breast areas where the tumor was occurred and removed. This therapy is known as regional lymph node radiation. Two techniques that have been approved for use in radiation therapy in breast cancer patients are Axial computed tomography (CT) simulation and three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy (3DCRT).⁹

Previous studies have shown that post-operative regional radiotherapy is beneficial for the women who suffered breast cancer and reduced the chance of its coming back; hence, increasing the survival rate. All the patients require adjuvant radiotherapy after the breast-conserving surgery.¹⁰ In additionradiation therapy of Auxiliary lymph node (AXLN) and Supraclavicular lympnode (SCLNs) is also needed if these are involved in the patients' clinical results. Suppose the T4 tumor is present in the patient's report, and the size of the tumor is 5cm.¹¹ In that case, adjuvant radiotherapy at AXLNs and SCLNs is a must after the modified radical mastectomy. Particularly for these purposes, the images of CT scan stimulation are required by the oncologists. Several years before, the use of single-photon with a low energy source of Cobalt 60 or 6MV was the most frequently applied technique for the radiation therapy of AXLNs.^{3,12}

The depth of maximum dose of the method, also known as Dmax, is D=3 cm. Simultaneously, some recent studies in developed countries show that as a result of using single AP in SCLN by oncologists, 66% of radiation therapy had a certain depth (not D = 3) in 67.5 midplanes in 17% of patients. So, the prescribed center may not correctly incorporate the nodal target into all patients who showed significant differences in the location and depth of SC and AXLNs previously.^{13,14} For the improvement of dose homogeneity and dose compliance, appropriate CT techniques are required. It is a challenging task for the radio-oncologists to improve the treatment strategies for treating AXLNs and SCLNs. The study's primary aim was to make a comparison of multiple techniques for the treatment of AX II / III and SC.¹⁵ The use of different alternatives for the two regional AXLN and SCLNs treatment programs by providing a

perfect balance of homogeneity and by arranging target volume coverage will be studied in this research.¹⁶

Materials and Methods

A cross sectional study was conducted from 11th March 2020 to 11th September 2020 at the oncology ward of the Tertiary Care Hospital, Multan among the patients having breast cancer. Forty breast cancer patients were involved in the study. The patients under the study already had undergone radiation therapy at their regional lymph nodes so that any technique used in the study could not affect their cure rate.

The two techniques; three-dimensional (3D) planning system and a 6MV Compact machine technique are used to treat breast cancer patients with post-surgery radiations that involve the SC field. Only female patients diagnosed from breast cancer and age more than 19 years were included in the study. All the male patients or females below 18 years were excluded. At first, CT stimulation of each patient was done before further treatment. A breast board was provided to immobilize the patients, and the patients were set at the supine position. Also, we made sure 90 degrees abduction of the ipsilateral arm of the patients. A slice of 3mm thickness was taken for CT simulation purposes. The radiooncologists contoured the treatment target volume AX II / III and SC lymph nodes concerning the radiation therapy oncology group 8. These two techniques were direct anterior-posterior field alone and an anterior-posterior parallel pair (AP/PA). To overcome the unneeded radiation at the spinal cord, 10-degree external gantry rotation was used. Iso gray treatment planning software (V4.1.3.23L) was used in the data collection method. In the first technique with a single field, the calculation of SC depth from skin to the LII / III and SC lymph nodes was measured vertically. Also, the measurement of the diameter of the chest wall was done vertically. The oncologists evaluated 121 plans, out of which two plans were for the AP/PA fields patient, and one plan was for every single AP field. BMI is one of the factors on which the precision of CT stimulation and 3DCRT depends. To avoid false results, the patients were divided into four groups according to their BMI: underweight, overweight, normal, or obese. Ethical statements from all the patients were recorded. All the

procedures were explained as the patients were made sure that the procedure will not affect their already done therapy. Also, the data collected from all the patients have been performed anonymously.^{17,18}

According to the BMI of the patients, the SC depth and diameter of the wall were analyzed. A simple linear regression analysis was performed. The Data Analysis procedure was done using the Statistical software package for social sciences (SPSS) version 2.¹⁹

Results

A total of 40 patients was included in the study which was categorized into 4 groups based on their BMI. The minimum weight among these patients was 40kg and the maximum was 110kg. The minimum height was 148cm and the maximum was 175cm. the average of both measurements was 63.5kg and 163.5cm respectively. Among the 4 groups 10 patients were included in the underweight group, which means body mass index 18.5-22.5, 10 in the normal weight group with BMI 22.6-249, 10 in the overweight group with BMI 25-29.9, and the last 10 were included in the group of obese patients with body mass index more than 30. The minimum age of the patients included in the study was 22 whereas 70 was the maximum. So, the mean age was 46. Out of 40, modified radical mastectomy was done on 26 patients whereas the other 15 were undergone BCT. The size of all the tumors was in between 1.0-8.6 cm.

• Chest Wall Diameter and SCLNs Depth

The following table 1 shows the mean diameter of the chest wall and mean depth of SCLNs according to the BMI classification of the patients.

Table 1: Chest Wall Diameter and SCLNs Depth						
BMI	Mean Diameter of the chest wall	Standard Deviation	Mean Depth	Standard Deviation		
18-21.5	12.09	0.30	3.27	0.26		
22.6- 24.9	13.50	0.40	4.5	0.41		
25-22.9	15.17	0.53	5.43	0.41		
≥30	18.02	2.04	6.60	0.54		
Total	14.2	2.36	4	1.3		

• BMI Depending Dosimetry Results

This table ii shows the results of all the study including AX level 2 and 3, and SC lymph nodes in

V95, V105 and V110.Also, the significant *p*-values according to the BMI.

Discussion

SC and AX lymph nodes depth were found different in the study. Also, a direct relationship was determined BMI and SC depth and chest diameter. So, the higher the BMI, the deeper the nodal beds. Bentel and Colleagues performed a study and the SC depth found were 19-64cm, being a comparable stu. In the study, there was a negligible difference in both the fields of V95. Whereas in V105 a significant difference was found in both the fields at levels 2, 3 and SC.²⁰ It was concluded that the AP/PA method for the treatment is more significant for obese patients. The reason behind it is that in this method they don't have to face hot spots scans. A study conducted by Liengsawangwong and colleagues shows that the level 3 axillary lymph nodes and SC depth are in between 14-67mm.²¹ A clear difference was found in the lymph dose of the Axillary Lymph nodes according to Goodman's study. We can also compare these results with our study making all the patients falling in the four categories of BMI with both fields appropriate with V95 in LN 2 and 3. Jephcott and his fellows were performing a CT study in the year 2004 in which, 4 radiotherapy techniques including an anterior field with a posterior axillary boost with compensator, an anterior field with posterior axillary boost, single AP field, and AP/PA fields were compared.²² So, among all the patients 60% of the patients undergoing a single AP field did not show significant results. The AP/PA field showed good results but some excessive dose was given to the medial of the neck and chest region. The posterior axillary boost method was better than the second one as the adequate dose reached PTV and the dose between the neck and chest region was decreased. But 90% of the patients had more hot spots in this case. Everything including the dosages to medial neck and chest as well as PTV was optimized but the hot-spots were 120% less in the patients. It is all due to better coverage of PTV that AP/PA is considered better than a single performance appraisal method. So, some of these points were consistent with our studies and some were not.

In Pakistan, 12,000 of the population suffers from Cancer according to WHO, but there is no Cancer Registry. All three types of radiotherapy are done for

Table 2: BMI depending dosimetry results	ling dosim	etry results											
BMI Range kg/m ²			18.5-22.5			22.6-24.9			25-29.9			8	
		AP	AP/PA	P-value	AP	AP/PA	P-value	AP	AP/PA	P- value	AP	AP/PA	<i>P</i> -value
Level II	V95	95.81±0.7	98.63±1.2	0.0	96.00±0.8	97.00±2.3	0.08	99.12±1.1	98.37±2.1	0.0	98.20±2.2	96.00±1.7	60.0
	V105	3.25±1.4	0.00±0	0.07	16.50±3.1	0.00±0	<0.001	28.12±5.7	0.62±0.7	<0.001	52.40±2	3.80±0.8	<0.001
	V110	V110 0.00±0	0.00±0	0.1	14.25±3.5	0.00±0	<0.001	29.87±6.4	0.25±0.7	<0.001	41.00±2.3	0.00±0	<0.001
Level III	V95	98.90±0.3	100.00±0	0.1	96.50±1	98.75±1.9	60:0	96.75±1	99.62±0.5	0.09	97.60±2	99.80±0.4	0.08
	V105	2.22±0.8	0.00±0	0.2	5.37±0.5	0.00±0	0.06	15.37±5	0.00±0	<0.001	28.20±2.9	3.40±1.9	<0.001
	V110	0∓00.0	0.00±0	0.1	1.50±1	0.00±0	0.07	7.00±3.8	0.00±0	<0.001	13.54±2	0.00±0	<0.001
Supraclavicular	V95	98.63±1.2	96.81±0.6	0.08	98.25±1	97.50±0.6	0.091	99.75±0.5	98.62±1.7	0.09	98.8±0.8	97.60±1.1	0.09
	V105	63.63±1.3	0.00±0	<0.001	76.00±0.8	0-00-0	<0.00	85.25±5	0.00±0	<0.001	91.00±1.6	2.68±1.5	<0.001
	V110	31.08±7	0.00±0	<0.001	38.75±1	0.00±0	<0.001	47.75±0.8	0.00 <u>±</u> 0	<0.001	48.50±0.9	0.00±0	<0.001

the treatment of breast cancer including tele therapy, brachytherapy, and other sources. The production of radiotherapeutics is done at a local level whereas the Cobalt-60 resources are imported to the country. International Atomic Energy Agency is trying to improve the stability and infrastructure of radiotherapy. Pakistan Institute of Nuclear Science and Technology collaboration is the only way to introduce the radio therapeutics. There is a dire need to increase its clinical applications as well.^{23,24}

Some of the limitations of the research method include that the planning for treatment relay on the person's design practice. Different radiation oncologists have different treatment planning, so made different results and calculations.²⁵ The procedure is managed by the radiation physicist and oncologist. We used the standard defined field, so there is not much difference. Moreover, the average or maximum dosage by skin, lungs, and heart were not considered by us. This may increase to a great level in the AP/PA technique than in the AP technique.^{26,27}

Conclusion

Two anterior and posterior opposed supraclavicular field is a better choice for the treatment as compared to single anterior supraclavicular fields, specifically for overweight patients. Several hot spots were produced in two anterior and posterior opposed supraclavicular fields due to the use of singlephoton. Further researches are required to study the dose to lungs and heart. In case the dose is excessive, it needs to be controlled.

REFERENCES

- Tade FI, Cohen MA, Styblo TM, Odewole OA, Holbrook AI, Newell MS, et al. Anti-3-18F-FACBC (18F-fluciclovine) PET/CT of breast cancer: an exploratory study. 2016; 57: 1357-63.
- 2. Labrèche F, Goldberg MS, Hashim D, Weiderpass EJOC. Female Breast Cancer. 2020; 417: 46-52.
- 3. Soc ACSJAC. Breast cancer facts and figures 2019–2020. 2019:1-44.
- 4. Ahmed S, Manaf NH, Islam R. Assessing top management commitment, workforce management, and quality performance of Malaysian hospitals. International Journal of Healthcare Management. 2021; 14: 236-44.
- 5. Source WHO JAc. The global cancer observatory. 2019.
- Braunstein LZ, Taghian AG, Niemierko A, Salama L, Capuco A, Bellon JR, et al. Breast-cancer subtype, age, and lymph node status as predictors of local recurrence following breast-conserving therapy. 2017; 161: 173-9.

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2018; 68: 394-424.
- 8. International WCRF. Diet, nutrition, physical activity and cancer: a global perspective: a summary of the Third Expert Report: World Cancer Research Fund International; 2018.
- 9. Whelan TJ, Olivotto IA, Parulekar WR, Ackerman I, Chua BH, Nabid A, et al. Regional nodal irradiation in early-stage breast cancer. 2015; 373: 307-16.
- 10. Offersen BV, Boersma LJ, Kirkove C, Hol S, Aznar MC, Sola AB, et al. ESTRO consensus guideline on target volume delineation for elective radiation therapy of early stage breast cancer. 2015; 114: 3-10.
- 11. McGale P, Taylor C, Correa C, Cutter D, Duane F, Ewertz M, et al. Effect of radiotherapy after mastectomy and axillary surgery on 10-year recurrence and 20-year breast cancer mortality: meta-analysis of individual patient data for 8135 women in 22 randomised trials. 2014; 383: 2127-35.
- 12. Rebegea L, Firescu D, Dumitru M, Anghel RJC. The incidence and risk factors for occurrence of arm lymphedema after treatment of breast cancer. 2015; 110: 33-7.
- Lyman GH, Somerfield MR, Bosserman LD, Perkins CL, Weaver DL, Giuliano AEJJCO. Sentinel lymph node biopsy for patients with early-stage breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. 2017; 35: 561-4.
- Giuliano AE, Ballman KV, McCall L, Beitsch PD, Brennan MB, Kelemen PR, et al. Effect of axillary dissection vs no axillary dissection on 10-year overall survival among women with invasive breast cancer and sentinel node metastasis: the ACOSOG Z0011 (Alliance) randomized clinical trial. 2017; 318:918-26.
- Verhoeven K, Weltens C, Remouchamps V, Mahjoubi K, Veldeman L, Lengele B, et al. Vessel based delineation guidelines for the elective lymph node regions in breast cancer radiation therapy–PROCAB guidelines. 2015; 114: 11-6.
- Sautter-Bihl ML, Sedlmayer F, Budach W, Dunst J, Feyer P, Fietkau R, et al. DEGRO practical guidelines: radiotherapy of breast cancer III—radiotherapy of the lymphatic pathways. 2014; 190: 342-51.
- 17. Poortmans PM, Collette S, Kirkove C, Van Limbergen E, Budach V, Struikmans H, et al. Internal mammary and medial supraclavicular irradiation in breast cancer. 2015; 373:317-27.
- 18. Nicolini A, Ferrari P, Duffy MJ. Prognostic and predictive biomarkers in breast cancer: Past, present and future. In Seminars in cancer biology 2018; 52: 56-73.
- Jabbari K, Azarmahd N, Babazade S, Amouheidari A. Optimizing of the tangential technique and supraclavicular fields in 3 dimensional conformal radiation therapy for breast cancer. Journal of medical signals and sensors. 2013; 3:107.
- Golabchi K, Soleimani-Jelodar R, Aghadoost N, Momeni F, Moridikia A, Nahand JS, et al. MicroRNAs in retinoblastoma: Potential diagnostic and therapeutic biomarkers. 2018; 233: 3016-23.

- Gueorguiev I, Nedev N, Avramov A, Velikova N, Tonev A. EP-1257: Voluntary Deep Inspiration Breathhold (DIBH) in the Radiotherapy for Breast Cancer. Radiotherapy and Oncology. 2018; 127: S694-5.
- 22. Ameri A, Ansari J, Mokhtari M, Chehrei A. Comparison of radiotherapy side effects on lung volumes and peripherel oxygen saturation in two methods of radiotherapy: two tangential and single anterior supraclavicular field with two tangential and two anterior and posterior opposed supraclavicular fields. Journal of Arak University of Medical Sciences. 2006; 9: 26-34.
- 23. Wang Q, Ma S, Song N, Li X, Liu L, Yang S, et al. Stabilization of histone demethylase PHF8 by USP7 promotes breast carcinogenesis. 2016; 126: 2205-20.
- 24. Hanna GG, Coyle VM, Prise KM. Immune modulation in

advanced radiotherapies: targeting out-of-field effects. Cancer letters. 2015; 368: 246-51.

- 25. Mitra AK, Agrahari V, Mandal A, Cholkar K, Natarajan C, Shah S, et al. Novel delivery approaches for cancer therapeutics. 2015; 219: 248-68.
- 26. Maas P, Barrdahl M, Joshi AD, Auer PL, Gaudet MM, Milne RL, et al. Breast cancer risk from modifiable and nonmodifiable risk factors among white women in the United States. 2016; 2: 1295-302.
- 27. George M, Denton E, Zwiggelaar R, editors. Mammogram breast density classification using mean-elliptical local binary patterns. 14th International Workshop on Breast Imaging. International Society for Optics and Photonics. 2018; 10718:107180B.

.....